

# Quantitative performance of forward fill/flush differential flow modulation for comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography

Aleksandra Lelevic, Vincent Souchon, Christophe Geantet, Chantal Lorentz,

Maxime Moreaud

# ▶ To cite this version:

Aleksandra Lelevic, Vincent Souchon, Christophe Geantet, Chantal Lorentz, Maxime Moreaud. Quantitative performance of forward fill/flush differential flow modulation for comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography. Journal of Chromatography A, 2020, 1626, pp.461342. 10.1016/j.chroma.2020.461342 . hal-02913111

# HAL Id: hal-02913111 https://ifp.hal.science/hal-02913111

Submitted on 7 Aug 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

8	Quantitative performance of forward fill/flush differential flow modulation for
9	comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography
10	Aleksandra Lelevic <sup>a,b,*</sup> , Vincent Souchon <sup>a</sup> , Christophe Geantet <sup>b</sup> , Chantal Lorentz <sup>b</sup> , Maxime Moreaud <sup>a</sup>
11	a. IFP Energies nouvelles, Rond-point de l'échangeur de Solaize BP 3 69360 Solaize France
12	b. Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, CNRS, IRCELYON UMR 5256, F-69626
13	Villeurbanne, France
14	* Author for correspondence: <u>aleksandra.lelevic@fpen.fr</u> ; phone: +33783770208
15	Abstract
16	GC×GC is an advanced separation technique allowing to achieve quantitative and qualitative
17	characterization of complex samples. In order to perform two-dimensional separation, the system must
18	provide suitable peak modulation which will direct short impulses of first column flow towards the
19	second column. Forward fill/ flush differential flow modulation is a cost effective and no cryogen
20	requiring approach which allows modulation over a wide range of analytes with very different boiling
21	points. However, optimization of the flow modulation process can be difficult to understand and
22	quantitation performance might be compromised if the parameters of the modulation process are not
23	properly set. Modulated peak shape can be a good indication of the efficiency of the modulation
24	process, however it is not sufficient to guarantee good quantitation. Different average velocities in the
25	beginning and end of the thermally programmed GC run may cause different efficiency of the
26	modulation process in various parts of the chromatogram. The purpose of this work is to investigate
27	quantitative performance of the forward/fill flush modulation and delineate parameters that determine

the effectiveness of the modulation process and its ability to properly reflect the quantitativecomposition of the investigated sample.

## 30 1. Introduction

31

32 One-dimensional GC (1D GC) is a 'go-to' technique for the analysis of volatile and semi-volatile samples. Many regulatory and industrial methods rely heavily on the elaboration of this technique 33 coupled with different detection solutions. However, for highly complex samples, 1D GC possesses 34 35 shortcomings owing to many compounds coelutions. This favoured the emergence and the use of 36 multidimensional and among them comprehensive gas chromatographic techniques. Comprehensive 37 two-dimensional GC (GC×GC) involves a separation in the first column which is followed by the 38 subsequent separation in the second column, resulting in the entire sample being subjected to a dual 39 separation process. Introduction of the comprehensive separation methodology has brought a 40 significant increase in peak capacity and signified a paradigm shift in the quality of chemical composition measurements for complex samples [1-5]. 41

42 Modulator is the essential part of the GC×GC set-up. Its role is to successively sample the eluate from the first column and direct it towards the second column in a discontinuous but periodic manner [6]. 43 Modulation is often designated as the bottleneck segment of the GC×GC system owing to the 44 technical limitations of its performance. First practical realization of the modulation for GC×GC was 45 46 effected by Liu and Phillips [7] who employed a two-stage thermal desorption modulator (TMD) in 47 combination with two open-tubular GC columns (solutes were trapped at the entry of the second column in the thick film of stationary phase and periodically desorbed by electric pulses). Shortly after 48 TMD was introduced, rotating thermal modulator known as 'sweeper' was developed by the same 49 50 group [8]. This system brought improvement in terms of durability (in the previous system capillaries 51 required frequent replacement), however it involved issues with moving parts and difficulties with 52 modulation of higher boiling point compounds. Many limitations of described thermal modulators 53 were overcome with the introduction of cryogenic systems. Marriott and coworkers [9] pioneered longitudinally modulated cryogenic system in which liquid  $CO_2$  was used to trap analytes. This system 54 however involved moving parts and was able to trap analytes at temperatures only down to 55 approximately  $-50^{\circ}$ C. Further improvements of modulation system involved dual jet systems [10] and 56 substitution of CO<sub>2</sub> with liquid N<sub>2</sub> which allowed to trap high volatility species [11]. As alternatives to 57 58 thermal systems, valve based and flow modulators were also developed. Diaphragm valve modulator

59	was introduced by Bruckner et al. [12]. Such a system resulted in well resolved peaks, however it
60	suffered from low mass transfer and thermal limitations. Flow modulation for GC×GC was first
61	proposed by Seeley et al. [13] with so called differential or simple fluidic modulator and had
62	concomitant developments such as modified valve system proposed by Wang [14] or the pulse flow
63	modulator of Amirav's group [15–17]. Bueno and Seeley [18] further eliminated the diaphragm valve
64	and devised a flow-switching system which allowed 100% mass transfer and eliminated thermal
65	issues. This concept resulted in the commercial capillary flow technology (CFT) modulator proposed
66	by Agilent and which is used in this work. Later on, reverse flow modulator [19], flow modulation low
67	pressure GC×GC [20] and recently dynamic pressure gradient modulation [21] brought new
68	developments.

In terms of use, cryogenic modulators dominate owing to their simple and flexible configuration, easy method development, small peak widths and no issues with coupling to the MS. They also allow the application of long modulation periods, which still for many applications could be desirable. Flow modulation is advantageous in terms of the lack of volatility limits and avoidance of a cryogen use, however it is characterized by laborious method development and issues with direct coupling to the MS detector. Summary of the basic advantages and drawbacks of the two modulation approaches is provided in Table 1.

76 Flow modulators are characterized by the simplicity of design, low cost and robustness, which makes them a very good choice for a robust analysis of a large variety of samples [22–30]. Operation of the 77 78 differential flow modulator however must be carefully optimized in order to avoid quantification issues. Issues with peak shape and full peak return to the baseline have long been recognised and 79 80 several approaches have been described in order to insure optimal performance of the modulator and 81 investigate its quantitative performance. Harvey et al. [31] proposed a symmetric flow path modulator to minimize flow perturbations in the second dimension and using a pressure restrictor at the end of 82 the first dimension column to stop the flow in the first column during the flush cycle for allowing 83 more flexibility in terms of applicable range of modulation times. Tranchida et al. [32] proposed 84 flexible loop-type flow modulator which ensured better peak shape however loss of sensitivity was 85

86 incurred due to diverting part of the eluate to the waste. Griffith et al. [19], Duhamel et al. [25] and

87 Krupcik et al. [23] investigated reverse fill/flush modulator for improved performance of flow

88 modulation. Seeley et al. [33,34] have described quantitative aspects for flow modulation, including

89 full transfer and low duty cycle modulation approaches.

90 Purpose of this work is to investigate in detail the qualitative and quantitative performance of forward 91 fill/flush flow modulation, and define conditions and generic rules which ensure its satisfactory quantitative performance over the entire analysis time. Influence of the most important factors on the 92 93 modulation process is investigated. Model of the fluid circuit representing the flow modulation is first devised similarly to the one used in Giardina et al. work [35]. Then, several operating conditions have 94 been tested and calculations of the modulation fill and flush distances have been performed. An 95 96 approach is devised to provide fine understanding of the forward fill/flush modulation process and generic rules to make a choice of modulation parameters that will allow to achieve efficient 97 modulation process for the goal of quantitation. Most importantly, it was highlighted that modulation 98 99 efficiency changes during the thermally programed GC run, owing to the change of linear velocities in 100 the modulation channel, thus the possibility of programmable injection time could be proposed.

101

## 2. Description of the forward/fill flush modulation system

102

Operation of the modulation system with forward fill/flush dynamics comprises a series of consecutive sampling and injection steps which are driven by the periodic switching of the three way solenoid valve receiving a supply of the carrier gas [36]. In order to preserve the <sup>1</sup>D resolution but also avoid oversampling of the <sup>1</sup>D peaks, around three modulations over the <sup>1</sup>D peak area are recommended [37,38]. Number of modulations can be set by selecting appropriate modulation period, hence modulation period is one of the critical parameters influencing modulation process efficiency.

Additionally, it is important to preserve good peak shape after modulation. Tailing, fronting, double peaks or even not completely modulated peaks can occur and as such can influence quantitative reliability of the analysis. Critical parameters in the optimization of the modulation process and

elimination of adverse effects on the peak shape for this type of modulator are identified to be: <sup>1</sup>D
column flow, <sup>2</sup>D column flow, modulation fill time and modulator flush time (modulation period = fill
+ flush time).

115 <sup>1</sup>D column flow directs the fill stage of the modulation process. In order to avoid overfilling of the modulation channel this flow should not be too high. On the contrary, <sup>2</sup>D flow ought to be high 116 enough in order to enable quick flushing of the modulation channel during the designated flush time. 117 If the <sup>2</sup>D flow is too high however, the <sup>1</sup>D eluate might be carried over from the <sup>1</sup>D column and follow 118 the main peak, causing double peaks. Modulation fill time, coupled with the <sup>1</sup>D flow determines the 119 extent of the filling of the modulation channel. Hence, modulation period should not be too long as 120 this might cause overfilling of the channel. On the other hand, if the modulation period is too short, 121 oversampling of the <sup>1</sup>D peaks might occur as well as wrap-around in the second dimension separation. 122 Thus, a compromise must be achieved in order to minimize these two adverse phenomena. The 123 modulator flush time should be long enough to efficiently flush the entire volume of the modulation 124 channel but not too long because in this case carryover of the <sup>1</sup>D eluate from the previous modulation 125 126 cycle occurs and double peaks result, as mentioned before. Changing any or all of these parameters will bear influence on the modulation process, which can be perceived in the change of the peak shape. 127 However, the question is how the quantitative performance of the modulation process is affected and 128 how it can be optimized. 129

**3.** Theory

131

In order to better understand the flow modulation process, a fluid circuit representing the forward fill/flush modulation system was devised. Fluid circuit is illustrated in Figure 1, with fill and flush modes represented separately. During the modulator filling stage, eluate from the first column is slowly traveling into the modulator channel, in accordance with the low flows applied in the first dimension of the GC×GC separation. This stage is immediately followed by the flushing phase, where a high gas flow rate is applied at the head of the modulator channel and a fast pulse of the carrier gas

138 flow is directed towards the second column. The sum of the fill and flush times of the modulator is
139 equal to the modulation period. Described cycle is generally repeated over the entire GC×GC run.

In the represented fluid circuit, if involved inlet and outlet pressures in each segment of the circuit are
known, classical Hagen-Poiseuille equation, allows to calculate appurtenant volumetric flows:

142 
$$F = \left(\frac{\pi r^4}{16\eta L}\right) \left(\frac{P_{in}^2 - P_{out}^2}{P_{out}}\right) \left(\frac{T_{ref}}{T}\right) \left(\frac{P_{out}}{P_{ref}}\right)$$
(1)

143 Where F is the outlet volumetric flow  $(m^3/s)$  at reference conditions, r is the column radius (m),  $\eta$  is 144 the carrier gas viscosity (Pa·s), L is the column length (m), P<sub>in</sub> is the inlet pressure (Pa), P<sub>out</sub> is the 145 outlet pressure (Pa), T is the oven temperature (K), T<sub>ref</sub> is the reference temperature, typically 25 °C 146 (298 K), P<sub>ref</sub> is the reference pressure, typically 14.696 psia (101 325 Pa).

In the fill mode of the depicted fluid circuit, inlet pressure of the first column is set to achieve a chosen 147 <sup>1</sup>D flow, based on the column dimensions which are assumed to be known. Inlet pressure on the head 148 of the second column is also applied in order to achieve a suitable <sup>2</sup>D flow, based on the known 149 150 dimensions of the second column. Outlet pressure of the second column depends of the type of the detection system connected to the end of the column and, in the most simple case, is equal to 151 atmospheric pressure; for example, when FID detector is used. Outlet pressure of the modulator is the 152 same as the inlet pressure of the second column. Inlet pressure of the modulator is the same as outlet 153 154 pressure of the first column and it is the only pressure which is unknown in this case. This pressure 155 can be perceived as the midpoint pressure of the column set, involving first column and the modulator.

In the flush mode, first column outlet pressure is the same as the modulator inlet pressure; it is applied by the Pneumatic Control Module so as to achieve the desired flow in the second column. Modulator outlet pressure is the same as the second column inlet pressure and it is the only pressure which is unknown in the 'flush mode'. As in the first case, it can be regarded as the midpoint pressure of the column set consisting of the modulator and the second column.

161 Required midpoint pressure can be calculated, by taking into the account that the volumetric flow at 162 reference conditions in each segments of the column set has to be the same (mass conservation 163 condition). Thus, for fill mode following equation applies:

164 
$$\left(\frac{\pi r_1^4}{16\eta L_1}\right) \left(\frac{P_1^2 - P_2^2}{P_2}\right) \left(\frac{T_{ref}}{T_1}\right) \left(\frac{P_2}{P_{ref}}\right) = \left(\frac{\pi r_2^4}{16\eta L_2}\right) \left(\frac{P_2^2 - P_3^2}{P_3}\right) \left(\frac{T_{ref}}{T_2}\right) \left(\frac{P_3}{P_{ref}}\right)$$
(2)

165 Where  $r_1$  is the <sup>1</sup>D column radius,  $\eta$  is the carrier gas viscosity,  $L_1$  is the <sup>1</sup>D column length,  $P_1$  is the 166 <sup>1</sup>D column inlet pressure,  $P_2$  is the <sup>1</sup>D column outlet pressure/modulator inlet pressure,  $T_1$  is the 167 column temperature,  $r_2$  is the modulator channel radius,  $L_2$  is the modulator channel length,  $P_3$  is the 168 modulator outlet pressure,  $T_2$  is the modulator temperature,  $T_{ref}$  is the reference temperature,  $P_{ref}$  is the 169 reference pressure, T is the column temperature.

170 If we assume that temperature is the same in column 1 and modulator, we can rewrite the equation 2 171 so as to calculate the <sup>1</sup>D column outlet pressure/modulator inlet pressure ' $P_2$ ':

172 
$$\boldsymbol{P}_2^2 = \frac{r_1^4 L_2 P_1^2 + r_2^4 L_1 P_3^2}{r_2^4 L_1 + r_1^4 L_2} \quad (3)$$

173 In the same way, the unknown modulator outlet  $\text{pressure}/^2 D$  column inlet pressure 'P<sub>3</sub>' in the fluid 174 circuit representing the 'flush mode' of the modulator, can be calculated:

175 
$$\boldsymbol{P_3^2} = \frac{r_2^4 L_3 P_2^2 + r_3^4 L_2 P_4^2}{r_3^4 L_2 + r_2^4 L_3} \quad (4)$$

176 Where  $r_2$  is the modulator channel radius,  $L_2$  is the modulator channel length,  $P_2$  is the modulator inlet 177 pressure,  $P_3$  is the modulator outlet pressure/<sup>2</sup>D column inlet pressure,  $r_3$  is the <sup>2</sup>D column radius,  $L_3$  is 178 the <sup>2</sup>D column length,  $P_4$  is the <sup>2</sup>D column outlet pressure.

When all pressures are known, volumetric flows at reference conditions in all fluid circuit segmentscan be calculated, which then in turn allow to calculate involved average velocities:

181 
$$\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{F}\left(\frac{j}{\pi r^2}\right) \left(\frac{T}{T_{ref}}\right) \left(\frac{P_{ref}}{P_{out}}\right) (5)$$

182 Where,  $\left(\frac{T}{T_{ref}}\right) \left(\frac{P_{ref}}{P_{out}}\right)$  term is necessary to transform the volumetric flows at reference conditions 'F'

183 into the corresponding volumetric flow. j is the compressibility factor:

184 
$$j = \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{a^2 - 1}{a^3 - 1} \right)$$
 (6)

185 Where:

186

$$\alpha = \frac{P_{in}}{P_{out}} (7)$$

187 Calculated average velocities in all fluid circuit segments, in fill and flush modes, allow to determine 188 travelled distances by fill and flush volumes, based on the duration of the fill and flush periods of the 189 modulation process. Examination of the calculated values of these quantities for the obtained empirical 190 data, allows to understand how they influence the achieved efficiency of the modulation. Hence, 191 parameters determining the success of the process can be identified, which allows for easier process 192 optimization.

## 193 4. Materials and methods

194

Agilent 7890A Gas Chromatograph with a G3486A CFT differential flow modulator and FID detection was used. Exact dimensions and shape of the modulator collection channel are not exactly known. However, according to literature, it was assumed that the volume of the collection channel is close to 35  $\mu$ l and its length ~15 cm [35,36] with a circular shape. The selected column set consisted of a DB-1 <sup>1</sup>D column (100% dimethyl polysiloxane; 20 m, 0.1 mm ID, 0.4  $\mu$ m; Agilent Technologies, Inc.) and a BPX-50 <sup>2</sup>D column (50% Phenyl Polysilphenylene-siloxane, 3.2 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25  $\mu$ m; SGE). Carrier gas was hydrogen. 1  $\mu$ L injections with a split ratio of 150:1 were performed on a

For this study on the forward fill/flush modulation system, a standard mixture of n-paraffins (n-C8, n-C10, n-C12, n-C16, n-C18, n-C20, n-C22, n-C24, n-C26 and n-C28) diluted in toluene was used for optimization and quantitative performance tests. All chemicals used to prepare the n-paraffin mixture were of analytical grade quality (purchased from Sigma–Aldrich).

206 Multimode Inlet (MMI) from Agilent equipped with a single taper liner with glass wool. Injection port was heated to 300°C, then ramped to 330°C at 500°C/min, where it remained isothermal during 5 min. 207 Temperature programing was 50-325 °C at 2.5°C/min. GC was run in a constant flow mode. FID 208 209 conditions were as follows: 325 °C, air flow 400 ml/min, hydrogen 35 ml/min and make-up gas (nitrogen) 25 ml/min. Flow measurement were performed by using Alicat WHISPER MW Flow 210 meter. All analysis were carried out in at least three replicates. Conditions that were varied for the 211 analysis included <sup>1</sup>D Flow (0.10, 0.15 and 0.20 ml/min), <sup>2</sup>D Flow (6.3, 8.7, 11, 13.5, 18 and 20 212 ml/min), modulation period (3.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5, 6.0 and 8.0 s) and modulator flush time (0.16, 0.18, 0.18)213 214 0.20, 0.22 and 0.26 s). Overview of the selected conditions is provided in Table 2. Analysis have been 215 repeated by using second modulator of the same kind with which similar results have been obtained.

Agilent ChemStation B.04.03-SP1 was used for GC data acquisition. GC Image 2.7 software was used
 for GC×GC chromatogram display and integration. For fluid circuit modelling, all calculations were
 performed in Excel<sup>®</sup>.

219 5. Results and discussion

#### 220 **5.1. Methodology**

221

In order to investigate the influence of modulation parameters on both qualitative and quantitative results, a standard mixture of n-paraffins, covering a wide boiling point range: n-C8 to n-C28, was analysed by GC×GC-FID with different conditions of the modulation process.

Modulated peak shape was investigated through visual inspection for the different n-paraffins in the test mixture and the peak asymmetry was determined according to the following formula:

227 
$$As = \frac{W_{5.0}}{t_w \cdot 2}$$
 (8)

228 Where *As* is the peak asymmetry factor,  $W_{5.0}$  is the peak width at 5% peak height and t<sub>w</sub> is the distance 229 in minutes between the front of the peak and peak maximum (retention time) measured at 5% peak

height. However, as illustrated in Figure S1 in the supporting information, *As* could not be consideredas the unique indicator for a good peak shape and visual inspection remains essential.

Relative response factors (RRFs) were calculated for all paraffins for each analysis. RRFs were deduced by taking into the account the FID determined blob volumes and known mass % of the analytes and calculated according to Equation 8. RRF of n-C18 is taken to be 1.

235 
$$RRF_{i} = \frac{\frac{m}{m}\%_{i}\cdot A_{ref}}{A_{i}\cdot\frac{m}{m}\%_{ref}} \quad (9)$$

Where  $m/m\%_i$  is the mass percent of investigated species in the prepared weighed standard mixture and  $A_i$  its blob volume,  $m/m\%_{ref}$  is a mass percent of the reference species, in this case n-C18, and  $A_{ref}$ its blob volume. Theoretically, all the RRFs for n-paraffins should be similar with deviations less than +/- 10% compared to n-C18. Larger deviations may arrive from imperfect modulation or discrimination effects at the inlet but the latter were not observed in the used conditions.

For the different methods used, calculations were performed according to the fluid circuit described in 241 the previous section. For 4 different oven temperatures ( $70^{\circ}C - elution of n-C8$ ,  $100^{\circ}C - elution of n-C8$ ) 242 C10, 200°C– elution of n-C18 and 290°C– elution of n-C28) selected to span the entire n-paraffins 243 elution range, average velocities were calculated in different segments of the system (<sup>1</sup>D column, <sup>2</sup>D 244 column and modulator). Even though temperature programing was in fact employed, assumption was 245 made that the temperature changes very slowly, owing to the applied thermal rate and that system can 246 be regarded as isothermal at chosen points of investigation. From these values, fill and flush distances 247 248 that the carrier gas and the analytes travel during the fill and flush times in the modulator were calculated. They are obtained by multiplying average velocity in the modulation channel with the fill 249 250 or flush time, respectively.

251

252 **5.2.** Experimental results

253

Overview of the results obtained for 20 different methods applied are given in Table 3. Conditions of the modulation process, along with <sup>1</sup>D and <sup>2</sup>D flows for each run are provided in Table 2. For each of the four selected elution temperatures corresponding fill and flush distances were derived. Also determined was the time necessary to fully fill the modulation channel, which is a consequence of the choice of the <sup>1</sup>D flow, and the time necessary to flush one volume of the modulator channel which results from the chosen <sup>2</sup>D flow. Obtained quantities were closely looked at in conjunction with the appearance of the modulated peaks for four chosen elution temperatures.

Peak shape repeatability was investigated by performing repeated runs. Figure S2 in supporting information illustrates peak shape repeatability for six replicates of the same analysis. Chromatograms were highly repeatable, resulting in RRFs for all species whose RSD is less than 3%. Largest variability is obtained for n-C8, however this peak is very close to solvent peak (toluene) hence errors in blob volume estimations can be incurred.

## **5.3. Influence of modulation parameters on peak shape**

267

In Table 3 highlighted in dark gray are all instances in which peak shape is close to ideal response 268 perceived (minimal tailing or fronting). It is observed that in all cases, sum of fill and flush distance is 269 close to 15 cm, which is the assumed length of the modulation channel. Additionally, for the efficient 270 flushing of the channel, ratio of the two distances should be 2.5-3.5 times at least. Our assumption is 271 that this could be owing to "non-ideal" flows with dead volumes or turbulences that require larger 272 flushing volumes compared to filling volume to ensure the complete flush of the compounds in the 273 collection channel. It is a purely empirical observation, supported also by our tests made on reverse 274 fill/flush modulation system where similar behaviour was detected. 275

If the sum of the fill and flush distance is lower than the length of the channel, peak tailing occurs. On the contrary, if the sum of the two distances is higher than the length of the modulation channel, peak fronting is perceived. The fronting progressively increases with the sum increase and then double peaks start to occur. The way to understand this is to imagine that for efficient modulation the fill

volume needs to be pushed forward just as much so as to leave the channel in a tight band. If the total 280 travelled distance is shorter than the length of the channel, a part of the band might still be in the 281 channel when the next fill cycle begins, hence this part will follow the main band in a bit slower pace 282 283 and cause the tailing effect. If the total travelled length is too high, this means that the flushing time is 284 long which gives the time to the part of the next portion of the peak to enter the modulation channel. 285 This part is in the modulation channel when the next fill cycle begins, hence after the flushing it elutes 286 ahead of the main band and causes fronting. If the flush time is too long, then part of the next portion of the peak exits the channel and follows the main peak, hence double peaks are perceived. 287

The fact that the sum of the fill and flush distances is crucial and not only flush distance by itself is 288 illustrated by comparing the results on the method 1 and 17 in Table 3. At 100°C around which n-C10 289 290 elutes, both analysis involve flush distance of 9.6 cm. In the former case, however, fill distance is 3.3 cm and in the later only 1.7 cm. Resultant modulated n-C10 peak is illustrated in Figure 2 for both 291 analysis. It can be seen that in the first case acceptable peak shape is obtained with slight tailing 292 indicating insufficient flushing (Figure 2A), however in the second case sum of fill and flush time is 293 294 much lower than the length of the modulation channel hence significant portion of the modulated peak remains in the channel waiting for the next modulation cycle, hence double peaks are perceived 295 296 (Figure 2B).

As it can be seen in Table 3 however, it is difficult to obtain optimal fill and flush distances at all 297 temperatures spanning a wide elution range. During the thermally programed GC analysis run in the 298 constant flow mode, with all other conditions constant, average velocities in the system segments are 299 300 different at different oven temperatures. Namely, on the temperatures where first analyte elutes, i.e. n-301 C8: 70 $^{\circ}$ C, average velocity will be lower than the one at heaviest analytes elution temperature, in our case n-C28: 290°C. To illustrate the effect on peak shape, Figure 3 shows generated GC×GC-FID 302 chromatograms for analysed paraffin mixture, obtained at different conditions of <sup>2</sup>D flow. In 3A, <sup>2</sup>D 303 304 Flow is 6.3 ml/min, which is too low for efficient flushing of especially lighter analytes, as indicated by their deformed peak shape. It can be seen in the figure how peak shape progressively becomes 305 better from n-C16 to n-C28 as elution temperature increases. In 3B, <sup>2</sup>D flow is 13.5 ml/min, which 306

results in overall better peak shapes. With further increase of <sup>2</sup>D flow to 18 ml/min, in 3C it can be seen that peak doubling begins to be perceived. Peak doubling is progressively worse for heaviest paraffins. Calculated total modulation distance (fill + flush) is close to or higher than 20 cm for paraffins for which double peaks are observed (Table 3). In 3D, at 20ml/min <sup>2</sup>D flow, we can see how the double peak following the main peak becomes more intensive when compared to the previous figure.

In Table 3, few values are also highlighted in light gray. They correspond to conditions where good peak shape could be expected according to the total modulation distance (sum of fill and flush distance). However small deviations of the peak shape were still perceived. This is explained by the higher <sup>1</sup>D flow which was applied in all these instances. In the case of higher <sup>1</sup>D flow it can be assumed that during the flush cycle penetration of the column 1 flow into the channel will be more pronounced ('breakthrough') hence decrease of efficiency of the modulation process can be expected.

319

## 5.4. Influence of modulation parameters on quantitation

320

In Figure 4A, the influence of <sup>1</sup>D column flow on RRFs of the n-paraffins is depicted. In blue are the 321 RRFs obtained in optimal conditions, i.e. 0.10 ml/min <sup>1</sup>D flow. It can be observed that with all other 322 parameters constant, increasing the <sup>1</sup>D flow above the conditions ensuring optimal performance causes 323 the perception of progressive loss of heavy analytes. Figure 3B shows the effect of changing the <sup>2</sup>D 324 flow, with all other parameters constant. In that case, a <sup>2</sup>D flow of 11 ml/min ensures good 325 quantitation and increasing the <sup>2</sup>D flow past the optimal flow causes decrease in the detected amount 326 of heavier analytes, while decreasing the flow causes rather the loss of light analytes. The influence of 327 328 the modulator flush time is illustrated in Figure 3C. Namely, it can be seen that the increase of the 329 injection time from 0.18 s of only 20 ms will be detrimental for the quantitative determination of 330 heavy analytes, while decreasing the injection time for 20 ms will cause the decrease in the detected 331 amount of light analytes. In Figure 3D, the influence of the choice of the modulation fill time can be perceived. It can be observed that decreasing the fill time above optimal value causes deterioration of 332 quantification of light analytes. 333

From these experiments, we can conclude that the change of critical modulation parameters carries 334 influence on light and heavy analytes that has different magnitudes, as already seen. Closer inspection 335 of the modulated peaks in the regions of chromatogram where light and heavy n-paraffins elute, 336 337 indeed demonstrates different levels of asymmetry of the obtained peaks, which also indicates varying 338 efficiency of the modulation process. In order to evaluate the influence of the peak asymmetry on the 339 quantitation performance, RRFs of analysed n-paraffins were compared for the different methods. As 340 demonstrated previously, peak asymmetry factor cannot be regarded as a unique indicator of peak 341 shape and visual inspection is also necessary. However, for peaks that completely return to the 342 baseline between modulations, peak asymmetry factor values 0.85 from to 1.15 did not have a significant adverse effect on the quantification performance, as obtained quantitative results were in 343 the range of acceptable values RRFs ~  $1\pm 0.1$ . It was found that acceptable deviations in peak shape 344 are related to the deviation from the total modulation distance (fill + flush distance) of 15 cm for about 345 346 2 cm.

To illustrate the relation between the peak shape and quantitative performance, in Figure 5 and 6, 347 chromatograms for methods no. 3 and 6 are compared. In the first case (Figure 5) peak shape is good 348 349 for n-C10, but small fronting can be perceived for n-C28. In the second case (Figure 6), n-C10 demonstrates small tailing, while n-C28 has suitable asymmetry factor. In Figure 7 are the 350 corresponding calculated RRFs. In red are the RRFs for method no. 3 and in blue are the RRFs for 351 352 method no. 6. It can be observed that small deviation from perfect peak shape reflects a small loss of 353 response for corresponding analytes, however the effect is not too important. More prominent tailing such as in the case of n-C10 in the second analysis will cause more significant loss of its response. 354

From these chromatograms and these quantitative results, it is noteworthy to observe that in the case of constant flow conditions and thermally programed GC runs that are classically used, travelling distances for same modulation parameters will always be different for analytes with different elution temperatures. Hence, if perfect modulation distance is achieved for light analytes, this distance is too high for heavy analytes, and vice versa. Thus, perfect peak shape and quantitation cannot be achieved in all areas if the chromatogram in the case of samples with a wide boiling point range and a

361 compromise has to be found so that the peak asymmetry and quantification for both light and heavy 362 analytes remain satisfactory. Possible solutions to maintain proper peak shape all along the oven 363 program would be for example flow programming in the second dimension which may be tedious, or 364 the modification of the injection time depending on the oven temperature. To date, this last solution is 365 however not available with commercial instruments and software sold with forward fill/flush 366 modulation systems.

#### 367 **6.** Conclusion

368

The most important parameters of the modulation process for forward fill/flush modulation systems 369 370 were highlighted and their influence explained. Relationship between the fill and flush distances calculated through theoretical considerations and a fluid model of the modulation system and the 371 length of the modulation channel was explored. It was demonstrated that the best results regarding 372 peak shape and quantification are obtained when the sum of fill and flush distances are closed to the 373 374 length of the modulation channel (15±2 cm). Additionally, even if the total distance is comparable to the length of the channel, but the ratio of flush and fill volume is too small (less than 2.5) or channel 375 filling to fast, in these cases modulation is not efficient. Finally, it was observed that maintaining a 376 proper modulation of light to heavy compounds is not straightforward with commercial instruments 377 and some improvements have been suggested. To conclude, this study shows that for fine tuning of 378 forward fill/flush flow modulation systems, it is always better to estimate quantitative performances by 379 using a test mixture, as alone calculation and looking at peak shape are not enough to guarantee good 380 results. 381

#### **382** Credit author statement

**Lelevic Aleksandra:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - Original

384 Draft. Souchon Vincent: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing - Review &

385 Editing. Geantet Christophe: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Writing -

386 Review & Editing. *Lorentz Chantal:* Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing. Moreaud

**387 Maxime**: Supervision, Writing - Review & Editing.

#### 388 Declaration of interests

389								
390 391	☐ The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.							
392								
393								
394								
395	Refer	ences						
396	[1]	L.M. Blumberg, Comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography: metrics, potentials,						
397		limits, J. Chromatogr. A. 985 (2003) 29-38. doi:10.1016/S0021-9673(02)01416-4.						
398	[2]	S.E. Prebihalo, K.L. Berrier, C.E. Freye, H.D. Bahaghighat, N.R. Moore, D.K. Pinkerton, R.E.						
399		Synovec, Multidimensional Gas Chromatography: Advances in Instrumentation,						
400		Chemometrics, and Applications, Anal. Chem. 90 (2018) 505-532.						
401		doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.7b04226.						
402	[3]	M. Adahchour, J. Beens, U.A.T. Brinkman, Recent developments in the application of						
403		comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1186 (2008) 67-108.						
404		doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2008.01.002.						
405	[4]	F. Bertoncini, M. Courtiade-Tholance, D. Thiébaut, Gas chromatography and 2D-gas						
406		chromatography for petroleum industry : the race for selectivity, Editions TECHNIP, 2013.						
407	[5]	L. Mondello, A.C. Lewis, K.D. Bartle, eds., Multidimensional Chromatography, John Wiley &						
408		Sons Ltd, 2002.						
409	[6]	M. Edwards, A. Mostafa, T. Górecki, Modulation in comprehensive two-dimensional gas						
410		chromatography: 20 years of innovation, Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 401 (2011) 2335-2349.						
411		doi:10.1007/s00216-011-5100-6.						
412	[7]	Z. Liu, J.B. Phillips, Comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography using an on-column						
413		thermal modulator interface, J. Chromatogr. Sci. 29 (1991) 227-231.						

- 414 doi:10.1093/chromsci/29.6.227.
- J.B. Phillips, E.B. Ledford, Thermal modulation: A chemical instrumentation component of
  potential value in improving portability, F. Anal. Chem. Technol. 1 (1996) 23–29.
- 417 doi:10.1002/(sici)1520-6521(1996)1:1<23::aid-fact4>3.3.co;2-b.
- 418 [9] P.J. Marriott, R.M. Kinghorn, Longitudinally Modulated Cryogenic System. A Generally
- 419 Applicable Approach to Solute Trapping and Mobilization in Gas Chromatography, Anal.

420 Chem. 69 (1997) 2582–2588. doi:10.1021/ac961310w.

- 421 [10] J. Beens, M. Adahchour, R.J.J. Vreuls, K. Van Altena, U.A.T. Brinkman, Simple, non-moving
- 422 modulation interface for comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr.

423 A. 919 (2001) 127–132. doi:10.1016/S0021-9673(01)00785-3.

424 [11] J. Harynuk, T. Górecki, New liquid nitrogen cryogenic modulator for comprehensive two-

dimensional gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1019 (2003) 53–63.

426 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2003.08.097.

- 427 [12] C.A. Bruckner, B.J. Prazen, R.E. Synovec, Comprehensive Two-Dimensional High-Speed Gas
  428 Chromatography with Chemometric Analysis, Anal. Chem. 70 (1998) 2796–2804.
  429 doi:10.1021/ac980164m.
- 430 [13] J. V. Seeley, N.J. Micyus, J.D. McCurry, S.K. Seeley, Comprehensive two-dimensional gas
  431 chromatography with a simple fluidic modulator, Am. Lab. 38 (2006) 24–26.
- 432 [14] F.C.Y. Wang, New valve switching modulator for comprehensive two-dimensional gas
- 433 chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1188 (2008) 274–280. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2008.02.104.
- 434 [15] A. Amirav, Pulsed flow modulation gas chromatography mass spectrometry with supersonic
  435 molecular beams method and apparatus, Israel Pat Appl., 176724, 2006.
- 436 [16] M. Poliak, M. Kochman, A. Amirav, Pulsed flow modulation comprehensive two-dimensional
  437 gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1186 (2008) 189–195.

- 438 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2007.09.030.
- 439 [17] M. Kochman, A. Gordin, T. Alon, A. Amirav, Flow modulation comprehensive two-
- dimensional gas chromatography-mass spectrometry with a supersonic molecular beam, J.
  Chromatogr. A. 1129 (2006) 95–104. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2006.06.079.
- 442 [18] P.A. Bueno, J. V Seeley, Flow-switching device for comprehensive two-dimensional gas
- 443 chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1027 (2004) 3–10. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2003.10.033.
- J.F. Griffith, W.L. Winniford, K. Sun, R. Edam, J.C. Luong, A reversed-flow differential flow
  modulator for comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1226
- 446 (2012) 116–123. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2011.11.036.
- P.Q. Tranchida, F.A. Franchina, P. Dugo, L. Mondello, Flow-modulation low-pressure
  comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1372 (2014) 236–244.
  doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2014.10.097.
- [21] T.J. Trinklein, D. V. Gough, C.G. Warren, G.S. Ochoa, R.E. Synovec, Dynamic pressure
  gradient modulation for comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr.
  A. 1609 (2020) 460488. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2019.460488.
- 453 [22] J. V. Seeley, Recent advances in flow-controlled multidimensional gas chromatography, J.
  454 Chromatogr. A. 1255 (2012) 24–37. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2012.01.027.
- J. Krupčík, R. Gorovenko, I. Špánik, P. Sandra, M. Giardina, Comparison of the performance
  of forward fill/flush and reverse fill/flush flow modulation in comprehensive two-dimensional
  gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1466 (2016) 113–128.
- 458 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2016.08.032.
- 459 [24] G. Semard, C. Gouin, J. Bourdet, N. Bord, V. Livadaris, Comparative study of differential flow
- 460 and cryogenic modulators comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography systems for
- the detailed analysis of light cycle oil, J. Chromatogr. A. 1218 (2011) 3146–3152.
- 462 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2010.08.082.

19

463	[25]	C. Duhamel, P. Cardinael, V. Peulon-Agasse, R. Firor, L. Pascaud, G. Semard-Jousset, P.
464		Giusti, V. Livadaris, Comparison of cryogenic and differential flow (forward and reverse
465		fill/flush) modulators and applications to the analysis of heavy petroleum cuts by high-
466		temperature comprehensive gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1387 (2015) 95-103.
467		doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2015.01.095.
468	[26]	C.E. Brightling, R.C. Free, R.L. Cordell, D. Ruszkiewicz, C.L. Paul Thomas, W. Ibrahim, M.J.
469		Wilde, S. Siddiqui, L. Bryant, P.S. Monks, A. Singapuri, C. Beardsmore, B. Zhao, E.A.
470		Gaillard, D. Salman, Breath analysis by two-dimensional gas chromatography with dual flame
471		ionisation and mass spectrometric detection – method optimisation and integration within a
472		large-scale clinical study, J. Chromatogr. A. (2019) 1-13. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2019.02.001.
473	[27]	Q. Gu, F. David, F. Lynen, K. Rumpel, G. Xu, P. De Vos, P. Sandra, Analysis of bacterial fatty
474		acids by flow modulated comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography with parallel
475		flame ionization detector/mass spectrometry, J. Chromatogr. A. 1217 (2010) 4448-4453.
476		doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2010.04.057.
477	[28]	J. Krupčík, R. Gorovenko, I. Špánik, P. Sandra, D.W. Armstrong, Flow-modulated
478		comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography with simultaneous flame ionization and
479		quadrupole mass spectrometric detection, J. Chromatogr. A. 1280 (2013) 104-111.
480		doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2013.01.015.
481	[29]	J. Bernal, P. Manzano, J.C. Diego, J.L. Bernal, M.J. Nozal, Comprehensive two-dimensional
482		gas chromatography coupled with static headspace sampling to analyze volatile compounds:
483		Application to almonds, J. Sep. Sci. 37 (2014) 675-683. doi:10.1002/jssc.201301278.
484	[30]	R. Gorovenko, J. Krupčík, I. Špánik, I. Bočková, P. Sandra, D.W. Armstrong, On the use of
485		quadrupole mass spectrometric detection for flow modulated comprehensive two-dimensional

- 486 gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1330 (2014) 51–60.
- 487 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2013.12.055.

- 488 [31] P.M.A. Harvey, R.A. Shellie, P.R. Haddad, Design considerations for pulsed-flow
- 489 comprehensive two-dimensional GC: Dynamic flow model approach, J. Chromatogr. Sci. 48
  490 (2010) 245–250. doi:10.1093/chromsci/48.4.245.
- 491 [32] P.Q. Tranchida, G. Purcaro, A. Visco, L. Conte, P. Dugo, P. Dawes, L. Mondello, A flexible
  492 loop-type flow modulator for comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography, J.

493 Chromatogr. A. 1218 (2011) 3140–3145. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2010.11.082.

- 494 [33] A. Ghosh, C.T. Bates, S.K. Seeley, J. V Seeley, High speed Deans switch for low duty cycle
  495 comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1291 (2013) 146–154.
  496 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2013.04.003.
- 497 [34] J. V. Seeley, N.E. Schimmel, S.K. Seeley, The multi-mode modulator: A versatile fluidic
  498 device for two-dimensional gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1536 (2018) 6–15.
  499 doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2017.06.030.
- 500 [35] M. Giardina, J.D. McCurry, P. Cardinael, G. Semard-Jousset, C. Cordero, C. Bicchi,
- 501 Development and validation of a pneumatic model for the reversed-flow differential flow
- 502 modulator for comprehensive two-dimensional gas chromatography, J. Chromatogr. A. 1577
- 503 (2018) 72–81. doi:10.1016/j.chroma.2018.09.022.
- 504 [36] Agilent, Agilent G3486A CFT Modulator User Guide, (2008).
- 505 [37] R.E. Murphy, M.R. Schure, J.P. Foley, Effect of Sampling Rate on Resolution in

506 Comprehensive Two-Dimensional Liquid Chromatography, Anal. Chem. 70 (1998) 1585–

- 507 1594. doi:10.1021/ac971184b.
- 508 [38] W. Khummueng, J. Harynuk, P.J. Marriott, Modulation ratio in comprehensive two-
- dimensional gas chromatography, Anal. Chem. 78 (2006) 4578–4587. doi:10.1021/ac052270b.
- 510

#### 511 Figure captions



512

513 Figure 1 Fluid circuit representing the GC×GC system with differential flow modulation; P-pressure;



516 Figure 2 Modulated peaks of n-C10: (A) Method no. 1; (B) Method no. 17.





520 Figure 3 GC×GC-FID chromatograms for n-paraffins n-C8 to n-C28 in toluene at different conditions

521 of 2D Flow : (A) Method no. 2; (B) Method no. 4; (C) Method no. 19; (D) Method no. 20.



Figure 4 RRFs for n-C8 to n-C28 obtained in different modulation conditions; (A) 2D flow 8.7
ml/min, modulation period 5.5 s, flush time 0.18 s; (B) 1D flow 0.10 ml/min, modulation period 5.5 s,
flush time 0.18 s; (C) 1D flow 0.1 ml/min, 2D flow 8.7 ml/min, modulation period 5.5 s; (D) 1D flow
0.1 ml/min, 2D flow 8.7 ml/min, flush time 0.18 s.



529 Figure 5 (A) Good peak shape for n-C-10. (B) Deviation from ideal peak shape for n-C28. Method



531



532 Figure 6 (A) Deviation from ideal peak shape for n-C10 causes quantitation issues. (B) Good peak

533 shape for n-C28. Method  $n^{\circ}6$ .





Figure 7 RRFs, in red: Method n°3; in blue: Method n°6. 535

536

537

538	Table 1. Comparison of the	advantages and disadva	ntages of the therm	al and flow modulation systems.
-----	----------------------------	------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------

Table 1. Comparison of the advantages and disadvant	tages of the thermal and flow modulation systems.
Thermal modulation 🔨 💊	Flow modulation
Cold and hot gas jets are employed to efficiently trap and release analytes	Auxiliary flow of gas is used to direct the flow of analytes into the second dimension
<ul> <li>✓ No flow limitations (direct MS coupling)</li> <li>✓ Simple and flexible configuration</li> <li>✓ Easier method development</li> <li>× More expensive</li> <li>× Limited volatility range of analytes</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>✓ More cost effective</li> <li>✓ No volatility limits</li> <li>✓ Robust configuration</li> <li>× Laborious optimization</li> <li>× Flow limitations (limited coupling to MS)</li> <li>× Limited configuration flexibility</li> </ul>

539

540

#### Table 2. Used modulation method data.

Method No.	<sup>1</sup> D Flow (ml/min)	<sup>2</sup> D Flow (ml/min)	Modulation Period (s)	Flush time (s)
1	0.10	8.7	5.5	0.18
2	0.10	6.3	5.5	0.18
3	0.10	11.0	5.5	0.18
4	0.10	13.5	5.5	0.18
5	0.10	8.7	5.5	0.20

		ال	ournal Pre	-proof		
	6	0.10	8.7	5.5	0.16	
	7	0.10	8.7	6.0	0.18	
	8	0.10	8.7	4.5	0.18	
	9	0.10	8.7	5.0	0.18	
	10	0.15	8.7	5.5	0.18	
	11	0.20	8.7	5.5	0.18	
	12	0.20	8.7	3.0	0.18	
	13	0.10	8.7	5.5	0.22	
	14	0.10	11.0	5.5	0.22	
	15	0.10	8.7	5.5	0.26	
	16	0.10	13.5	3.0	0.18	
	17	0.10	8.7	3.0	0.18	
	18	0.10	8.7	8.0	0.18	
	19	0.10	18	5.5	0.18	
	20	0.10	20	5.5	0.18	
1				X		

Table 3. Results for the modulation fill and flush distances at different temperatures and under different modulation conditions; also calculated are time to fill and time to flush one volume of the modulation channel. Dark grey shaded data correspond to the total modulation distances where good peak shape was observed (asymmetry factor 1±0.15).

Method No.	Ratio of flush and fill distances	Fill distanc e (cm)	Flush distanc e (cm)	Time to fill channel (s)	Time to flush channel (s)	Total distance (cm)	Fill distance (cm)	Flush distanc e (cm)	Time to fill channel (s)	Time to flush channel (s)	Total distance (cm)
				70 °C					100 °C		
1	2.9	3.2	9.2	25.3	0.23	12.3	3.3	9.6	24.2	0.20	12.9
2	2.1	3.4	7.1	23.4	0.29	10.6	3.6	7.5	22.3	0.27	11.1
3	3.7	3.0	10.9	26.9	0.20	13.9	3.1	11.3	25.9	0.19	14.4
4	4.5	2.8	12.6	28.7	0.17	15.4	2.9	13.1	27.7	0.17	15.9
5	3.2	3.1	10.2	25.3	0.23	13.3	3.3	10.6	24.2	0.22	13.9
6	2.6	3.2	8.2	25.3	0.23	11.3	3.3	8.5	24.2	0.22	11.8
7	2.7	3.5	9.2	25.3	0.23	12.6	3.6	9.6	24.2	0.21	13.2
8	3.6	2.6	9.2	25.3	0.24	11.7	2.7	9.6	24.2	0.23	12.2
9	3.2	2.9	9.2	25.3	0.24	12.0	3.0	9.6	24.2	0.23	12.6
10	1.9	4.7	9.1	16.8	0.20	13.9	4.9	9.5	16.1	0.19	14.5
11	1.4	6.3	9.1	12.6	0.17	15.4	6.6	9.5	12.1	0.16	16.1
12	2.7	3.3	9.1	12.6	0.23	12.4	3.5	9.5	12.1	0.22	13.0
13	3.6	3.1	11.2	25.3	0.23	14.3	3.3	11.7	24.2	0.22	15.0
14	4.5	2.9	13.3	26.9	0.20	16.3	3.1	13.8	25.9	0.19	16.9
15	4.3	3.1	13.3	25.3	0.23	16.4	3.2	13.8	24.2	0.22	17.1
16	8.5	1.5	12.6	28.7	0.19	14.1	1.5	13.1	27.7	0.19	14.6
17	5.5	1.7	9.2	25.3	0.26	10.8	1.7	9.6	24.2	0.25	11.3
18	2.0	4.6	9.2	25.3	0.20	13.8	4.8	9.6	24.2	0.19	14.4
19	6.0	2.5	15.3	31.5	0.15	17.8	2.6	15.8	30.6	0.14	18.4
20	6.7	2.4	16.4	32.7	0.14	18.8	2.5	16.9	31.7	0.13	19.4
Method No.	Ratio of flush and fill	Fill distanc e (cm)	Flush distanc e (cm)	Time to fill channel (s)	Time to flush channel (s)	Total distance (cm)	Fill distance (cm)	Flush distanc e (cm)	Time to fill channel (s)	Time to flush channel (s)	Total distance (cm)
	distances			200 °C					290 °C		
1	2.9	3.7	10.7	21.6	0.20	14.5	4.0	11.6	20.0	0.17	15.5
2	2.1	4.1	8.6	19.5	0.23	12.6	4.4	9.3	18.0	0.20	13.7
3	3.7	3.4	12.6	23.3	0.17	16.0	3.7	13.4	21.9	0.15	17.1
4	4.5	3.2	14.4	25.1	0.15	17.5	3.4	15.3	23.7	0.14	18.6

5	3.2	3.7	11.9	21.6	0.19	15.6	4.0	12.9	20.0	0.17	16.8
6	2.6	3.7	9.6	21.6	0.19	13.3	4.0	10.3	20.0	0.17	14.3
7	2.7	4.0	10.7	21.6	0.18	14.8	4.4	11.6	20.0	0.17	15.9
8	3.6	3.0	10.7	21.6	0.20	13.8	3.2	11.6	20.0	0.18	14.8
9	3.2	3.4	10.7	21.6	0.20	14.1	3.6	11.6	20.0	0.18	15.2
10	1.9	5.6	10.7	14.4	0.16	16.2	6.0	11.5	13.4	0.14	17.5
11	1.4	7.4	10.6	10.8	0.13	18.0	8.0	11.4	10.0	0.11	19.4
12	2.7	3.9	10.6	10.8	0.19	14.5	4.2	11.4	10.0	0.17	15.7
13	3.6	3.7	13.1	21.6	0.19	16.8	4.0	14.1	20.0	0.17	18.1
14	4.5	3.4	15.4	23.3	0.17	18.8	3.6	16.4	21.9	0.15	20.1
15	4.3	3.6	15.5	21.6	0.19	19.2	3.9	16.7	20.0	0.17	20.6
16	8.5	1.7	14.4	25.1	0.17	16.1	1.8	15.3	23.7	0.16	17.1
17	5.5	2.0	10.7	21.6	0.22	12.7	2.1	11.6	20.0	0.20	13.7
18	2.0	5.4	10.7	21.6	0.16	16.2	5.9	11.6	20.0	0.14	17.4
19	6.0	2.8	17.2	28.1	0.13	20.0	3.0	18.1	26.6	0.12	21.1
20	6.7	2.7	18.3	29.3	0.12	21.0	2.9	19.3	27.8	0.11	22.1

Journal Prevention